## 580.439/639 Homework #9

Not to be handed in

## Problem 1

Consider learning rules similar to the Perceptron Learning Rule (PLR) using a *linear* perceptron, in which  $v = \vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}$ . The bias term has been absorbed into the weight vector  $\vec{w}$  and there is no squashing function. Consider the problem of supervised learning to make this perceptron give responses  $\{v^p | p = 1...P\}$  to input patterns  $\{\vec{u}^p | p = 1...P\}$ . The dimension of the weight and input vectors is *N*.

**Part a)** Define the overlap matrix  $\mathbf{Q} = [q_{jk}]$  as  $q_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i^j u_i^k$ , where  $u_j^i$  is the  $j^{th}$  component

of the  $i^{th}$  pattern vector. Let the perceptron's weights be

$$\vec{w} = \sum_{j=1}^{P} \sum_{k=1}^{P} v^{j} \left( \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \right)_{jk} \vec{u}^{k}$$

where  $(\mathbf{Q}^{-1})_{jk}$  means the  $jk^{th}$  element of the inverse of  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Write a matrix equation for  $\mathbf{Q}$  in terms of the *NxP* pattern matrix  $\mathbf{U}$  whose columns are the input patterns  $\vec{u}^{p}$  and show that this weight vector gives output  $v^{l}$  to input pattern  $\vec{u}^{l}$ .

Part b) Under what conditions on the input patterns does the analysis of part a) work?

**Part c)** Define the error in a linear perceptron as the difference between the output and the desired output summed across the input patterns:

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{P} \left( \vec{w} \cdot \vec{u}^{j} - v^{j} \right)^{2}$$

The weights could be found by gradient descent in which  $\vec{w}_{new} = \vec{w}_{old} - \varepsilon \vec{\nabla} E$  where  $\varepsilon$  is the learning rate. Show that this leads to a learning rule that looks the same as the PLR (except for the missing sgn[] functions).

## **Problem 2**

**Part a)** Show that the function below behaves like a Lyapunov function for the Hopfield network discussed in class, in that H decreases monotonically when the network's state values change in time.  $S_j$  is the output value of the  $j^{th}$  neuron as usual.

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} w_{ij} S_i S_j \tag{*}$$

where the summation is taken over all pairs of states. To do this, you will need to assume that  $w_{ij} = w_{ji}$ . Make it clear why this assumption is needed. Hint: consider H'-H, the change in the energy function when a single state flips, that is H changes to H' when  $S_k$  changes to  $S_k'$  and no other states

change. Show that H'-H is always negative when  $S_k$  changes according to the discrete update rule for the Hopfield net:

$$S_{k}' = \operatorname{sgn}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{kj} S_{j}\right]$$

Have you proven that Eqn. (\*) is a Lyapunov function, as defined in class?

**Part b)** To be a Lyapunov function, *H* must be minimized at an equilibrium point. We want the equilibrium points to be at patterns stored in the net. Suppose a Hopfield net has only one pattern  $\vec{u} = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N]$  stored. Consider the function

$$H = -\frac{1}{2N} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{i} u_{i} \right)^{2}$$
(\*\*)

Argue that this is minimized when  $S_i=u_i$ , i.e. the pattern is an equilibrium point. Is the minimum unique (i.e. can you find another equilibrium point)? Now use the definition of weights for the Hopfield net to show that (\*) and (\*\*) are the same. For the case of a net with many patterns stored, (\*\*) could be summed over all the patterns; would the same result hold? Is the function (\*\*) positive definite? If not, what can be done to make it so?